

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 6285–6287

Tetrahedron Letters

Oxidation products of 2-acyl-4,5-dihydrofurans

Jeremy Robertson,^{a,*} Andrew J. Tyrrell^a and Sarah Skerratt^b

a Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Chemistry Research Laboratory, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA, UK
b Pfizer Global Research and Development Ramsagte Road, Sandwich CT13 0NJ JIK ^bPfizer Global Research and Development, Ramsgate Road, Sandwich CT13 9NJ, UK

> Received 8 June 2006; accepted 22 June 2006 Available online 17 July 2006

Abstract—2-Benzoyl-4,5-dihydrofuran (5) readily undergoes oxidation in air, during chromatography on silica, or following exposure to oxidising agents (MCPBA, DMDO), to give 2-benzoylbutyrolactone (6) and tricycle 7 as the major products. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

As part of a project to develop enantiospecific routes to pyrrolizidine alkaloids, we had occasion to study the Stille cross-coupling of 2-(tributylstannyl)dihydrofuran [1](#page-2-0)¹ and the aspartate-derived acid chloride [2](#page-2-0).² Under the standard conditions,³ this reaction proceeded smoothly to give 2-acyldihydrofuran 3 in admixture with Bu₃SnCl (Scheme 1). We noticed decomposition and a low mass recovery following attempted chromatographic purification and therefore assayed some of the well-known procedures for removal of tin residues from reaction mixtures: (1) partitioning between petrol and acetonitrile^{[4](#page-2-0)} gave only a partial separation; (2) stirring a petrol/ethyl acetate solution with NaOH and filtration through silica 5 gave no recovery of coupled product; (3) stirring with aq KF and filtration^{[6](#page-2-0)} to remove precipitated polymeric Bu3SnF resulted in an inseparable mixture of products; (4) column chromatography on KF -impregnated silica^{[7](#page-2-0)} succeeded in producing tin-free product but only in trace quantities.

In an attempt to identify reliable conditions for producing this type of compound in a pure form, free of tin residues, we focused on the synthesis and purification of a simple model (5, Scheme 2) rather than waste further acid

O

O

Scheme 2.

Scheme 1.

Keywords: Dihydrofuran; Dihydropyran; Oxidation; Rearrangement; Lactones.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 0 1865 275660; fax: +44 0 1865 285002; e-mail: jeremy.robertson@chem.ox.ac.uk

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.06.122

chloride 2. The choice of benzoyl as the acyl substituent was based on the expectation that this would impart non-volatility to the sample. Interestingly, benzoyldihydrofuran 5, prepared analogously to compound 3, was found to be converted, either during chromatography, or on stirring with silica in ethyl acetate for 7 h, into two major products, 6 and 7 (83% combined yield), in addition to a very minor component that has so far not been isolated and identified. Whilst butyrolactone 6^8 6^8 was readily identified following routine spectroscopic analysis (e.g., $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 1769s, 1682s), the identity of the tricyclic bisacetal 7 was less obvious; fortunately, the compound crystallised out of ethyl acetate and the structure was solved by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 1).^{[9](#page-2-0)}

A survey of the literature revealed no suggestions of a particular instability of 2-acyldihydrofurans^{[10](#page-2-0)} and, in a

Figure 1. (Upper): ORTEP view of tricycle 7; (lower): rotated view highlighting the step-like ring system (phenyl groups omitted for clarity).^{[9](#page-2-0)}

separate study,^{[11](#page-2-0)} we had prepared 2-formyl-4,5-dihydrofuran^{[12](#page-2-0)} without complication. Therefore, in order to confirm that this reactivity was not an artefact induced by residual reagents from the cross-coupling reaction, substrate 5 was prepared directly from 2-lithio-dihydrofuran according to Meyers' method.^{[13](#page-2-0)} Once more, the product was initially obtained reasonably cleanly but was found to evolve into the two major products 6 and 7 as before.

Clearly, these results reflect an inherent propensity for 2-benzoyldihydrofuran (5) to undergo aerial oxidation. The same behaviour could be induced deliberately by exposing dihydrofuran 5 to either MCPBA $(CH_2Cl_2$, $0 \rightarrow 20$ °C, 1 h) or DMDO (acetone, $0 \rightarrow 20$ °C, 1 h). On this basis, it is reasonable to propose that the enol ether double bond is epoxidised^{[14](#page-2-0)} (\rightarrow **8**, Scheme 3), that the epoxide then has a tendency to ring-open $(\rightarrow 9 \text{ or a})$ protonated analogue) to place a partial positive charge remote from the acyl group, and that benzoyl group migration 15 completes the formation of butyrolactone 6 in a formal dyotropic rearrangement^{[16](#page-2-0)} (i.e., $8\rightarrow 6$). In this scenario, tricycle 7 would arise by the trapping of polarised intermediate 9 by the starting dihydrofuran (5).

2-Acetyldihydrofuran 17 also proved to be unstable towards chromatography on silica, and 2-acetylbutyrolactone was observed in the product mixture; however, this compound showed a reduced tendency towards air-oxidation and chromatography using deactivated silica (triethylamine) was sufficient to obtain pure product. 2-Benzoyl-4,5-dihydro-6H-pyran $(10)^{13}$ $(10)^{13}$ $(10)^{13}$ showed no tendency to undergo such oxidation and rearrangement; treatment of the latter with DMDO resulted in diol 11 after chromatography (Scheme 4).

In summary, 2-benzoyldihydrofuran (5) exhibits a previously unrecognised proclivity towards oxidation to give

Scheme 3.

rearranged and dimeric products (6 and 7, respectively). Although we have not yet studied other 2-aroyldihydrofurans we expect that a similar reactivity pattern will be observed. This reactivity does not extend to the dihydropyran analogue 10 nor to the simple 2-alkanoyldihydrofurans, such as 2-formyl- and 2-acetyldihydrofuran, which show merely the expected instability towards acidic conditions.

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC and Pfizer Global Research and Development for a studentship (for A.J.T.), and Dr. A. R. Cowley for assistance with the X-ray analysis of tricycle 7.

References and notes

- 1. This compound was prepared by stannylation of dihydrofuryllithium. (a) Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Bruza, K. J. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3997–4006; (b) Soderquist, J. A.; Hsu, G. J.-H. Organometallics 1982, 1, 830–833.
- 2. (a) Burger, K.; Rudolph, M. Chem. Zeitung 1990, 114, 249–251; (b) Burger, K.; Gold, M.; Neuhauser, H.; Rudolph, M. Chem. Zeitung 1991, 115, 77–82.
- 3. (a) Milstein, D.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3636–3638; (b) Blanchot, V.; Fétizon, M.; Hanna, I. Synthesis 1990, 755–756; cf. (c) Golubev, A. S.; Sewald, N.; Burger, K. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 14757–14776.
- 4. Berge, J. M.; Roberts, S. M. Synthesis 1979, 471–472.
- 5. Renaud, P.; Lacôte, E.; Quaranta, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2123–2126.
- 6. Leibner, J. E.; Jacobus, J. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 449– 450, see also Ref. 3a.
- 7. Harrowven, D. C.; Guy, I. L. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1968– 1969.
- 8. See, for example, Jedlinski, Z.; Kowalczuk, M.; Kurcok, P.; Grzegorzek, M.; Ermel, J. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4601–4602.
- 9. Crystal data for 7: $C_{22}H_{20}O_5$, $M = 364.40$, colourless fragment, monoclinic, $a = 10.6044(2)$, $b = 11.9077(2)$,
 $c = 14.7870$ (3) Å, $V = 1807.82(6)$ Å³, $T = 150$ K, space

group $P2_1/n$, $Z = 4$, μ (Mo K α) = 0.095 mm⁻¹, 19,769 reflections measured, 4330 unique $(R_{int} = 0.043)$, final $wR = 0.0377$. Crystallographic data for this structure have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication number CCDC

609602. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ [fax: +44 (0)1223 336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

- 10. Simple dihydrofurans bearing 2-aroyl substituents are relatively poorly represented in the literature; Satoh, T.; Itaya, T.; Okuro, K.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7267–7271, see also Ref. 13.
- 11. Withey, J. M. Part II Thesis, University of Oxford, 2000.
- 12. Paquette, L. A.; Schulze, M. M.; Bolin, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2043–2051.
- 13. Shimano, M.; Meyers, A. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 7727–7730.
- 14. The reaction may proceed by initial SET to give O_2 and 12; coupling and dioxetane formation may ensue with this intermediate (13) potentially acting as an oxidising agent for more of the enol ether (5). In this scenario, the HOMO energy will be important and this is expected to be responsive to the nature of the ketone substituent (aryl or alkyl).

Alkene oxidation by dioxetanes: (a) Adam, W.; Andler, S.; Heil, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1365– 1366; (b) Adam, W.; Blancafort, L. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8432–8438; For a recent report of unexpected epoxidation by molecular oxygen, and a presentation of the mechanism in terms of a diradical pathway, see Eade, S. J.; Adlington, R. M.; Cowley, A. R.; Walter, M. W.; Baldwin, J. E. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3705–3707.

- 15. For early precedent for this type of process, under Lewis acidic conditions, see, for example, (a) Allen, C. F. H.; Gates, J. W., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1943, 65, 1230–1235; (b) House, H. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 1235–1237.
- 16. Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1972, 11, 129– 130, and 130–131.
- 17. Prepared using Meyers' method [Ref. 13] with N,Ndimethylacetamide (59% yield).